

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the bill?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. SESSIONS. I ask unanimous consent that the bill be deemed read the third time, and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and that any statements relating to the bill appear at this point in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (S. 1511) was deemed read the third time, and passed, as follows:

S. 1511

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY.

(a) CLARIFICATION.—Section 3165 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1998 is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1), by striking out “under the jurisdiction” and all that follows through “Los Alamos National Laboratory” and inserting in lieu thereof “under the jurisdiction or administrative control of the Secretary at or in the vicinity of Los Alamos National Laboratory”; and

(2) in subsection (e), by striking out “, the Secretary of the Interior” and all that follows through the end and inserting in lieu thereof “but not later than 90 days after the submittal of the report under subsection (d)(1)(C), the County and the Pueblo shall submit to the Secretary an agreement between the County and the Pueblo which allocates between the County and the Pueblo the parcels identified for conveyance or transfer under subsection (b).”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in the provisions of section 3165 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 to which such amendments relate.

ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS ACT OF 1997

Mr. SESSIONS. I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now proceed to the consideration of calendar No. 289, S. 1354.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 1354) to amend the Communications Act of 1934 to provide for the designation of common carriers not subject to the jurisdiction of a State commission as eligible telecommunications carriers.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the bill?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read the third time, and passed, the motion to reconsider laid upon the table, and that any statements relating to the bill appear at this point in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (S. 1354) was considered read the third time, and passed, as follows:

S. 1354

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. AMENDMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934.

Section 214(e) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 214(e)) is amended—

(1) by striking “(2) or (3)” in paragraph (1) and inserting “(2), (3), or (6)”;

(2) by striking “interstate services,” in paragraph (3) and inserting “interstate services or an area served by a common carrier to which paragraph (6) applies,”;

(3) by inserting “(or the Commission in the case of a common carrier designated under paragraph (6))” in paragraph (4) after “State commission” each place such term appears;

(4) by inserting “(or the Commission under paragraph (6))” in paragraph (5) after “State commission”; and

(5) by inserting after paragraph (5) the following:

“(6) COMMON CARRIERS NOT SUBJECT TO STATE COMMISSION JURISDICTION.—In the case of a common carrier providing telephone exchange service and exchange access that is not subject to the jurisdiction of a State commission, the Commission shall upon request designate such a common carrier that meets the requirements of paragraph (1) as an eligible telecommunications carrier for a service area designated by the Commission consistent with applicable federal and State law. Upon request and consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity, the Commission may, with respect to an area served by a rural telephone company, and shall, in the case of all other areas, designate more than one common carrier as an eligible telecommunications carrier for a service area designated under this paragraph, so long as each additional requesting carrier meets the requirements of paragraph (1). Before designating an additional eligible telecommunications carrier for an area served by a rural telephone company, the Commission shall find that the designation is in the public interest.”.

DISTRIBUTION OF JUDGMENT FUNDS OF THE OTTAWA AND CHIPPEWA INDIANS OF MICHIGAN

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of H.R. 1604 just received from the House.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 1604) to provide for the division, use, and distribution of judgment funds of the Ottawa and Chippewa Indians of Michigan, pursuant to dockets 18-E, 58, 364, and 18-R before the Indian Claims Commission.

AMENDMENTS NOS. 1625 AND 1627, EN BLOC

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I send two amendments, en bloc, to the desk on behalf of Mr. MURKOWSKI and Mr. INOUE and ask for their immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Alabama [Mr. SESSIONS], for Mr. MURKOWSKI and Mr. INOUE, proposes amendments numbered 1625 and 1627, en bloc.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that further reading of the amendments be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendments are as follows:

AMENDMENT NO. 1625

(Purpose: To limit the number of health care contracts and compacts that the Indian Health Service may execute for the Ketchikan Gateway Borough)

At the appropriate place, insert:

SECTION 1. FINDINGS.

Congress finds that—

(1) the execution of more than 1 contract or compact between an Alaska native village or regional or village corporation in the Ketchikan Gateway Borough and the Secretary to provide for health care services in an area with a small population leads to duplicative and wasteful administrative costs; and

(2) incurring the wasteful costs referred to in paragraph (1) leads to decrease in the quality of health care that is provided to Alaska Natives in an affected area.

SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) ALASKA NATIVE.—The term “Alaska Native” has the meaning given the term “Native” in section 3(b) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1602(b)).

(2) ALASKA NATIVE VILLAGE OR REGIONAL OR VILLAGE CORPORATION.—The term “Alaska native village or regional or village corporation” means an Alaska native village or regional or village corporation defined in, or established pursuant to the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.).

(3) CONTRACT; COMPACT.—The terms “contract” and “compact” mean a self-determination contract and a self-governance compact as these terms are defined in the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450 et seq.).

(4) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

SEC. 3. LIMITATION.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall take such action as may be necessary to ensure that, in considering a renewal of a contract or compact, or signing of a new contract or compact for the provision of health care services in the Ketchikan Gateway Borough, there will be only one contract or compact in effect.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—In any case in which the Secretary, acting through the Director of the Indian Health Service, is required to select from more than 1 application for a contract or compact described in subsection (a), in awarding the contract or compact, the Secretary shall take into consideration—

(1) the ability and experience of the applicant;

(2) the potential for the applicant to acquire and develop the necessary ability; and

(3) the potential for growth in the health care needs of the covered borough.

AMENDMENT NO. 1627

(Purpose: To provide for a technical correction to Section 2 concerning the Sault Ste. Marie)

On page 2, line 7, of Section 2, delete the word “Tribe” and insert the word “Band”.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the amendments, en bloc.

The amendments (Nos. 1625 and 1627) were agreed to.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill, as amended, be considered read the third time, and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and that any statements relating to the bill be placed in the RECORD at the appropriate place.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 1604), as amended, was considered read the third time, and passed.

TELEMARKETING FRAUD PREVENTION ACT OF 1997

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now proceed to the consideration of calendar No. 206, H.R. 1847.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 1847) to improve the criminal law relating to fraud against consumers.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the bill?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on the Judiciary, with an amendment to strike all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Telemarketing Fraud Prevention Act of 1997".

SEC. 2. CRIMINAL FORFEITURE OF FRAUD PROCEEDS.

Section 982 of title 18, United States code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by redesignating the second paragraph designated as paragraph (6) as paragraph (7); and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

"(8) The Court, in sentencing a defendant convicted of an offense under section 1028, 1029, 1341, 1342, 1343, or 1344, or of a conspiracy to commit such an offense, if the offense involves telemarketing (as that term is defined in section 2325), shall order that the defendant forfeit to the United States any real or personal property—

"(A) used or intended to be used to commit, to facilitate, or to promote the commission of such offense; and

"(B) constituting, derived from, or traceable to the gross proceeds that the defendant obtained directly or indirectly as a result of the offense."; and

(2) in subsection (b)(1)(A), by striking "(a)(1) or (a)(6)" and inserting "(a)(1), (a)(6), or (a)(8)".

SEC. 3. PENALTY FOR TELEMARKETING FRAUD.

Section 2326 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking "may" each place it appears and inserting "shall".

SEC. 4. ADDITION OF CONSPIRACY OFFENSES TO SECTION 2326 ENHANCEMENT.

Section 2326 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting ", or a conspiracy to commit such an offense," after "or 1344".

SEC. 5. CLARIFICATION OF MANDATORY RESTITUTION.

Section 2327 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking "for any offense under this chapter" and inserting "to all victims of any offense for which an enhanced penalty is provided under section 2326"; and

(2) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

"(c) VICTIM DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'victim' has the meaning given that term in section 3663A(a)(2)."

SEC. 6. AMENDMENT OF FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES.

(a) DEFINITION OF TELEMARKETING.—In this section, the term "telemarketing" has the mean-

ing given that term in section 2326 of title 18, United States Code.

(b) DIRECTIVE TO SENTENCING COMMISSION.—Pursuant to its authority under section 994(p) of title 28, United States Code, and in accordance with this section, the United States Sentencing Commission shall—

(1) promulgate Federal sentencing guidelines or amend existing sentencing guidelines (and policy statements, if appropriate) to provide for substantially increased penalties for persons convicted of offenses described in section 2326 of title 18, United States Code, as amended by this Act, in connection with the conduct of telemarketing;

(2) submit to Congress an explanation of each action taken under paragraph (1) and any additional policy recommendations for combating the offenses described in that paragraph.

(c) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out this section, the Commission shall—

(1) ensure that the guidelines and policy statements promulgated or amended pursuant to subsection (b)(1) and any recommendations submitted thereunder reflect the serious nature of the offenses;

(2) provide an additional appropriate sentencing enhancement if offense involved sophisticated means, including but not limited to sophisticated concealment efforts, such as perpetrating the offense from outside the United States;

(3) provide an additional appropriate sentencing enhancement for cases in which a large number of vulnerable victims, including but not limited to victims described in section 2326(2) of title 18, United States Code, are affected by a fraudulent scheme or schemes;

(4) ensure that guidelines and policy statements promulgated or amended pursuant to subsection (b)(1) are reasonably consistent with other relevant statutory directives to the Commission and with other guidelines;

(5) account for any aggravating or mitigating circumstances that might justify upward or downward departures;

(6) ensure that the guidelines adequately meet the purposes of sentencing as set forth in section 3553(a)(2) of title 18, United States Code; and

(7) take any other action the Commission considers necessary to carry out this section.

(d) EMERGENCY AUTHORITY.—The Commission shall promulgate the guidelines or amendments provided for under this subsection as soon as practicable, and in any event not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of the Telemarketing Fraud Prevention Act of 1997, in accordance with the procedures set forth in section 21(a) of the Sentencing Reform Act of 1987, as though the authority under that authority had not expired, except that the Commission shall submit to Congress the emergency guidelines or amendments promulgated under this section, and shall set an effective date for those guidelines or amendments not earlier than 30 days after their submission to Congress.

AMENDMENT NO. 1628

(Purpose: To prohibit false advertising or misuse of a name to indicate the United States Marshals Service)

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I send an amendment to the desk on behalf of Mr. LEAHY and ask for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Alabama [Mr. SESSIONS], for Mr. LEAHY, proposes an amendment numbered 1628.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that reading of the amendment be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment is as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following new section:

SEC. . FALSE ADVERTISING OR MISUSE OF NAME TO INDICATE UNITED STATES MARSHALS SERVICE.

Section 709 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the thirteenth undesignated paragraph the following:

"Whoever, except with the written permission of the Director of the United States Marshals Service, knowingly uses the words 'United States Marshals Service', 'U.S. Marshals Service', 'United States Marshal', 'U.S. Marshal', 'U.S.M.S.' or any colorable imitation of any such words, or the likeness of the United States Marshals Service badge, logo, or insignia on any item of apparel, in connection with any advertisement, circular, book, pamphlet, software, or other publication, or any play, motion picture, broadcast, telecast, or other production, in a manner that is reasonably calculated to convey the impression that the wearer of the item of apparel is acting pursuant to the legal authority of the United States Marshals Service, or to convey the impression that such advertisement, circular, book, pamphlet, software, or other publication, or such play, motion picture, broadcast, telecast, or other production, is approved, endorsed, or authorized by the United States Marshals Service;".

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I am glad to support this measure with my amendment to prevent the misuse of the name and likeness of the U.S. Marshals Service.

The U.S. Marshals Service is the Nation's oldest Federal law enforcement agency. Since 1789, U.S. marshals have served the country through a variety of vital law enforcement activities, such as the protection of Federal judicial officials, the apprehension of Federal fugitives, and the transportation of Federal prisoners. Today, approximately 4,000 deputy U.S. marshals and career employees perform these important services across the Nation. I receive frequent reports about the day-to-day activities of the Service from Vermont's U.S. marshal, Jack Rouille, who has been a model public servant and has been a linchpin of coordination for Federal and local law enforcement agencies in Vermont.

The amendment I have offered will assist the Marshals Service by amending 18 U.S.C. 709—the part of the U.S. Code that deals with misuse of names to indicate Federal agencies—to include the Marshals Service among the Federal agencies whose name and likeness are protected from imitation on items of apparel or in connection with any commercial enterprise.

At present, the name and likeness of many other Federal law enforcement agencies are protected under law. For instance, the name and likeness of the Federal Bureau of Investigation [FBI], Secret Service, and Drug Enforcement Agency [DEA] are protected under 18 U.S.C. 709. Moreover, the name and likeness of several non-law enforcement agencies are protected under law. For example, the name and likeness of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the National Credit Union, the Federal Home Loan Bank, the Overseas Private Investment Corporation, and